October 29, 2015

Hon. James R. Clapper Director, Office of the Director of National Intelligence Washington, DC 20511

Dear Director Clapper:

The undersigned organizations, which are dedictate reserving privacy and civil liberties, write to request that you provide rain basic information about how Section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) affects America and other U.S. residents. Disclosing this information is necessary, we believe, to enable med public debate in advance of any legislative reauthorization efforts in 2017.

We acknowledge that you have publicly released prificant amount of information about Section 702, as well as declassifying information in the report of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (PCLOB). The disclosures have been helpful, and we appreciate them. However, there remains gais cant and conspicuous knowledge gap when it comes to the impact of Secuti 702 surveillance on Americans.

Information about that impact isitical in light of official representations that Section 702 is aimed at foreign threats and the tradilection of Americans' information is merely "incidental." The American public must have the data necests are valuate and weightese official claims. Moreover, it is unacceptable the government itself has idea how many Americans are caught up in an intelligence programs tensibly targeted at fogeriers. We therefore ask that you disclose the following informatin, as discussed further below:

- x A public estimate of the number of communitions or transactins involving American citizens and residents subjectSection 702 surveillancen a yearly basis.
- x The number of times each year that the FBI uses a U.S. person identifier to query databases that include Section 702 data, ændutmber of times the ueries return such data.
- x Policies governing agencies' tiffocation of individuals that they intend to use information "derived from" Section 702 surillance in judicial or administrative proceedings.

¹ This request seeks an estimate corresponding each of the following categories:

⁽¹⁾ The number of communications or transactions involving U.S. residents whose contentsntii2adtTr edtTr e7.2934

Estimate of How Many Communications Involving U.S. Residents Are Subject to Surveillance

As you know, Senators Wyden and Mark Udall atpelly have requested that you provide an estimate of how many American communications collected und estimate of 102. In 2012, the NSA Inspector General studied whether such seressment would be feasible. As relayed in a letter from the Inspector General (IG) foethntelligence Community, the NSA IG concluded that dedicating sufficient resources to such an assessment "would likely impede the NSA's mission." He also concluded that

protecting privacy, believe that a one-time, ited sampling of these communications would be a net gain for privacy conducted under appropriate

did so in only five cases, and there has need to single notification in seventeen months. In addition, the Treasury Departmen Office of Foreign Asset Control reportedly relies on Section 702-derived information but has never into those affected by its proceedings. Reports also indicate that some agencies engage and led construction": they reconstruct Section 702-derived information using less not oversial methods in order to oid disclosing the use of Section 702, on the dubious ground that reconstructed vidence is not "deved from" Section 702 surveillance.

Individuals should know whethereth are being given a fair opportunity to challenge Section 702 surveillance when the fruit of such sulfaeice is used against them. We ask that you disclose how the Department of Justice androthgencies interpret the statutory notification requirement, including the legal interpretation to the surveillance consider evidence to be "derived from Section 702 surveillance. These closures also should make clear whether evidence collected based on a thingsing from Section 702 surveillance is considered "derived" evidence, and the circumstanin which agencies permit investigators to reconstruct evidence originally obtained undertion 702 in order to order to notification. Keeping these key legal interpretations sepretvents the public of understanding how Section 702 is used in practice, and perpetuateanth-democratic practice of secret law.

The Principles of Intelligence ransparency, adopted by your offiin January and reaffirmed through an implementation plan issued by yoffice two days ago, state that the Intelligence Community will "[b]e proactive and clear in making information publicly available through authorized channels, including taking affirmatisteps to . . . provide timely transparency on matters of public interest." This is exactly clause case. The FISA Amendments Act is set to expire on December 31, 2017. Knowing the imposite law on Americans is not only important to an informed public debate, it is sential. Disclosing the information requested above will remove three of the mossignificant barriers to that debate.

Sincerely,

Advocacy for Principled Action in Government American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee American Civil Liberties Union Electronic Frontier Foundation

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

Fight for the Future

Free Press

Government Accountability Project

Liberty Coalition

National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers

National Security Counselors

New America's Open Technology Institute

Niskanen Center

OpenTheGovernment.org

PEN American Center

Project On Government Oversight

R Street

Restore the Fourth

The Sunlight Foundation

TechFreedom

World Privacy Forum

X-Lab